Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	ian/	09/942,881	LI ET AL.
Examiner-indiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
	;	Dionne A. Walls	1731
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dionne A. Walls</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Laura Lee</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 May 2005		Time:	
	☐ Applicai No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 43 and 53			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant to provide a significant to resulted in the allowance of the applicant to find the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The separate re	examiner will provide a writtened accord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked Ms. Lee if claims 43 and 53 could be amended to delete the phrase "at least one", and replace with --an--, as it relates to the additive, in order to be consistent with the latter part of the claim that recites that the additive "consists essentially of iron oxides nanoparticles". Also, in claim 43, the phrase "is in the form of iron oxide nanoparticles, and wherein the additive" is redundant, and should be canceled. Ms. Lee agreed and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment.